I		
1	Randall D. Haimovici ( <i>Pro Hac Vice Approved</i> )  rhaimovici@shb.com  Rachael M. Smith ( <i>Pro Hac Vice Approved</i> )  rxsmith@shb.com  SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.  One Montgomery, Suite 2700  San Francisco, California 94104-4505	
2		
3		
4		
5	Telephone: 415.544.1900 Facsimile: 415.391.0281	
6	Tony M. Diab (Nevada State Bar No. 12954)  tdiab@shb.com	
7	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P. 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1600	
8	Irvine, California 92614-2546 Telephone: 949.475.1500	
9	Facsimile: 949.475.0016	
10	Robert J.B. Flummerfelt (Nevada State Bar No. 11122)  rflummerfelt@hotmail.com  Rami Hernandez (Nevada State Bar No. 13146)  rhernandeznsj@hotmail.com  CANON LAW SERVICES, LLC  7251 W. Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 300  Las Vegas, Nevada 89128	
11		
12		
13		
14	Telephone: 702.562.4144 Facsimile: 702.866.9868	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
16	MICROSOFT CORPORATION	
	ANAMED CELEBRATION COLUMN	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
19		
20	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	Case No. 2:14-cv-00987-GMN-GWF
21	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF RACHAEL M. SMITH
22	vs.	IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION OF
23	NASER AL MUTAIRI, an individual;	MICROSOFT CORPORATION FOR AN EMERGENCY TEMPORARY
24	MOHAMED BENABDELLAH, an individual; VITALWERKS INTERNET SOLUTIONS,	RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE REGARDING A
25	LLC, d/b/a NO-IP.com; and DOES 1-500,	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
26	Defendants.	
27		
28		
۷٥		

7

5

8

11

12

10

13 14

1516

1718

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

2728

I, Rachael M. Smith, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P. ("SHB"), located at One Montgomery, Suite 2700, San Francisco, California 94104, counsel of record for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation in this case. This declaration is made in support of Microsoft's Application for an Emergency Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause Regarding a Preliminary Injunction. This declaration is based on my own personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the truth of the matters set forth below.
- 2. On June 30, 2014, this Court issued a Second Amended Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") authorizing Microsoft to serve Defendants Mutairi and Benabdellah by e-mail, Facebook, and by publishing notice on a publicly available Internet website. (*See* ECF Dkt. No. 12.) The Court ordered that this service be effected no later than July 1, 2014.
- 3. On June 30, 2014, at 8:49 a.m. Pacific Daylight Time ("PDT"), I served Defendant Mutairi by sending an e-mail to the following e-mail addresses that Microsoft has identified as belonging to this Defendant: <a href="mailto:njq8@ymail.com">njq8@ymail.com</a>, <a href="mailto:xnjq8x@gmail.com">xnjq8x@hotmail.com</a>, and <a href="mailto:xxnjq8xx@gmail.com">xxnjq8xx@gmail.com</a>. I did not receive a "bounce-back" notification that the e-mail could not be delivered. I attached to the e-mail the summons, complaint, all exhibits to the complaint, the TRO, and Appendices A and B to the TRO. In the body of the e-mail, I indicated that we were serving Defendant Mutairi with notice of a lawsuit in which he was named as a defendant; where the lawsuit was pending; that the case had been sealed prohibiting any party from publicly discussing the case; and that there was a hearing on July 10, 2014, at 3:00 p.m. in Courtroom 7D to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not be issued against the Defendants. I also directed him to the web address, <a href="www.noticeoflawsuit.com">www.noticeoflawsuit.com</a>, where all of the documents that have been filed in the case would be publicly available for viewing after the case was unsealed.
- 4. In addition to service by e-mail, which included a link to website where notice was publicly available, I also sent Defendant Mutairi a private message Facebook on (www.facebook.com/naser.naser.9066) on June 30, 2014, at 8:49 a.m. PDT. The body of the message was identical to the e-mail message referenced in Paragraph 3 above. Additionally, I was able to attach the summons, complaint and exhibits, and the TRO and Appendices A and B to the Facebook message.

1

6

4

7 8

9

11

10

1213

1415

16

17

18

20

19

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

- 5. On June 30, 2014, at 8:49 a.m. PDT, I served Defendant Benabdellah by sending an e-mail to the following e-mail address that Microsoft has identified as belonging to this Defendant: <a href="mailto:mi-dou93@hotmail.com">mi-dou93@hotmail.com</a>. I did not receive a "bounce-back" notification that the e-mail could not be delivered. I attached the same documents and included the same information in the body of the e-mail to Defendant Benabdellah that I did for the e-mail to Defendant Mutairi, discussed in Paragraph 3 above.
- 6. also Defendant Benabdellah sent a private message Facebook (www.facebook.com/bennabdellah) on June 30, 2014, at 8:51 a.m. PDT. The body of the message was identical to the e-mail message referenced in Paragraph 5 above. However, I was only able to attach the complaint and exhibits to the message. I immediately sent a follow-up private message to this Defendant indicating that I was unable to attach the summons and the TRO and Appendices A and B. However, I indicated that I had already e-mailed these documents to him at the address identified in Paragraph 5 and again indicated that all documents would be available after the case was unsealed on www.noticeoflawsuit.com.
- 7. On July 2, 2014, at 5:09 a.m. PDT, I received an e-mail response from Defendant Benabdellah indicating that he was the named Defendant in this lawsuit who resides in Algeria and that he was "ready to cooperate with the U.S. authorities and Microsft [sic] in any form." On July 7, 2014, at 10:36 a.m. PDT, I sent an e-mail response to Defendant Benabdellah in an effort to try to resolve the case with this Defendant. As of the date of signing this declaration, I have not received a reply to my July 7 e-mail.
- 8. I have never received a response from Defendant Mutairi by e-mail or Facebook message. I sent Defendant Mutairi an e-mail on July 3, 2014, at 5:37 p.m. PDT to the three e-mail addresses listed in Paragraph 3, attaching a copy of the Court's order unsealing the case. I immediately received a bounce-back notification stating that the e-mail could not be delivered to addresses <a href="mailto:xxnjq8xx@gmail.com">xxnjq8xx@gmail.com</a> and <a href="mailto:njq8@ymail.com">njq8@ymail.com</a> because either the account did not exist or that the user does not have an account with that provider. Because I was able to send a message to these addresses on June 30, 2014, with no problem, it would appear that Defendant Mutairi did receive notice of this lawsuit and has since deleted those accounts.

## Case 2:14-cv-00987-GMN-GWF Document 36 Filed 07/09/14 Page 4 of 4

9. In addition to the e-mail and Facebook service, the summons, complaint, TRO, and all moving papers have been publicly available for anyone to view and download at www.noticeoflawsuit.com since the Court ordered the case unsealed. I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed on 9th day of July, 2014. Rachael M. Smith